Planning Report: 8 June 2020

#### 1.0 Thomas Peacocke. site: Plutus Developments RR/2017/1778/P

Despite objections consent was granted.

Viability report now published online although with original dates.

Previous scheme for 73 units would have supported 9 affordable units but approved scheme for 6only provides for £76,000 contribution.

#### 2.0 Bridge Point Studios. Martello Developments RR/2019/789/P

Consent granted

### 3.00 Rye College. New all weather illuminated pitch RR/2019/785/P

# No change

Letter of objection submitted.

Sports England do not object subject to conditions

Rother Environmental Health do not object on grounds of noise or light pollution but recommend a reduction in the hours to 8pm M-F, 6pm Sat and none on Sunday and BH.

### 4.00 Rye College Car park Lighting RR/2019/1324/P

Refused

#### 5.00 Gristmill Martello development RR/2020/72/P

Withdrawn due to objections to lack of adequate parking to support restaurant use A3

## 6.00 Old Nat West Bank RR/2019/2067/P

It is understood that Rother are requiring current use ti be offered for sale over 18 month period as required by RNP.

New listed building application RR/2019/2577/L submitted.

## 7.00 48 Ferry Road Approval RR/2018/1828/P

Internal structure is now revealed as decorative cast iron columns and beams rather than timber as submitted drawings.

Application for listing made. Historic England have declined to list the building

Letter sent to new owners supporting change of use but expressing concern as to cast iron structure and parking. We also question the need for shop units. No response received.

#### 8.00 Land between Mill Lane and railway. SW Ferry Road RR/2019/840/P

EA have objected and stated that all habitable accommodation including Kitchens should be at first floor and above.

ESCC have objected on grounds of 'unacceptable flood risk'.

Fire Brigade have objected on grounds of potential reduced access and egress.

#### 9.00 Kettle of Fish RR/2019/2756/P

ESCC Highways have not picked up that if this application is linked with the previous application for 4 flats, this will mean that 6 fat will be provided without any parking for residents or visitors. I intend to write pointing this out.

No material objection from Highways England and ESCC Highways

#### 10.00 Shellfields, New England lane RR/2020/339/PIP (Permission in principle)

Application is for 9 units (below threshold for affordable housing)

Previous scheme for 24 new houses was lost on appeal on the grounds of '

the development would not provide acceptable access to services and facilities.

### 11.00 George Hotel RR/571/P

Two applications. Like for like reinstatement and an up grade scheme with internal lift, increase disabled access, 4 additional bedrooms and use of current shop as extension to estaurant with 18 more covers.

RCS to support both applications

## 12.00 Globe Inn, Military Road RR/2020/323/P

Extension to public bar and restaurant areas, new toilets and garage.

RCS object as application does not give areas of additional accommodation which will allow calculation of additional parking provision that would normally be required.

#### 13.00 Sandrock Marine, Rock Channel RR/2020334/P

2 sites on Rock Channel, currently boat yards and club. New restaurant, moorings, Bi office and workshops.

RCS object to lack of adequate parking and difficulty of access as Rock Channel is a shared road /designated footpath. Also concern as to effect of increased traffic at junction with A259. No lack of adequate parking was the reason for the withdrawl of the A3 application for the Gristmill, a far more accessible site.

#### 14.00 The Fig. 2 High Street RR/2020/491/P

Use of basement area as part of tea room which is to become a restaurant serving sous vide ie boil in a bag fish and meat dishes to overcome smells. House of opening to 23.00 7 days a week. RCS no objection subject to neighbours, Environmental Health but concern as to hours of opening.

# 15.00 Land adjacent Globe Military RR/2020/493/P

Resubmission of revised scheme following refusal. No objection subject to neighbours but would prefer simpler workshop approach rather than domestic. ESCC Highways did not object to previous scheme on grounds of access or loss of parking.